

REMARKS

Applicants thank the Examiner for the thorough consideration given the present application. Claims 32-66 are currently being prosecuted. Claims 32 and 56 are amended, and claim 66 is added. Claims 36-56 and claims 61-65 have been withdrawn. The Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider his election of species requirement in view of the amendments and remarks as set forth hereinbelow. As required in the Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment, The Examiner will note that withdrawn claims 36-56 and 61-65 have been properly designated

ELECTION OF CLAIMS

The Examiner has set forth an election of species requirement with regard to claims 32-65. The grouping of the claims is set forth as follows:

<u>SPECIES</u>	<u>FIGURE</u>
A	FIG. 2a and 2b
B	FIG. 3a and 3b
C	FIG. 5

The Examiner states that at least claim 57 is generic.

In order to be responsive to the Examiner's election of species requirement, the Applicants have elected SPECIES A, claims 32-35, 57-60 and 66, and FIGS. 2a and 2b for initial examination. However, it is respectfully submitted that the election of species

requirement is improper in view of the fact that a reasonable number of species are set forth in the present application.

If the Examiner does persist in his election of species requirement, the Applicants reserve the right to file one or more divisional applications directed to the non-elected claims at a later date if they so desire.


In addition, the Examiner is advised that claims 32 and 56 are amended herein merely to place them in better form.

Favorable action on the present application is earnestly solicited.

Please charge any fees or credit any overpayment pursuant to 37 CFR 1.16 or 1.17 to Deposit Account No. 02-2448.

Respectfully submitted,

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

By 

James M. Slattery

Reg. No. 28,380

P.O. Box 747

Falls Church, VA 22040-0747

(703) 205-8000

JMS/CTT/kj 